

Guide to the Bedford Clippings Collection 1994 – 2014

I can send you these items if you e-mail me.
terrijeanbedford@gmail.com

I, Terri-Jean Bedford, rose to national prominence in Canada for a number of reasons and at a number of times. In 1994 a large house in an affluent area just north of Toronto was raided and a press conference by police marked the beginning of the so-called Bondage Bungalow trials and appeals of the 1990's.

Even while these proceedings were in progress, I operated a nearly identical facility from 1998 to 2002. It was operated very openly, with media visiting, in Toronto, and with no intervention from authorities.

From 2007 to 2013, I was one of three plaintiffs in Bedford Versus Canada, challenging three key provisions in the criminal code meant to deter the sex trade. There were hearings not open to the public. In late 2009 there were nine days of hearings open to press and public. This was covered nationally. After one year of deliberation by the judge the provisions challenged were struck down in late 2010. There was much controversy and vigorous debate following the decision. The governments of Canada and Ontario appealed.

The Ontario Court of Appeal hearings were again a source of national coverage in 2011, as was the Court's decision in 2012. Finally, the hearings at the Supreme Court of Canada, in June 2013, and its unanimous decision in favour of our side, in December 2013, were covered nationally.

Over the years, when I or some of my supporters saw an article about me, or matters relating to my legal battles, they clipped it. These are newspaper articles, magazine articles, interviews, background pieces, set-up pieces, profiles, investigative reports and what not, from a wide variety of sources. At times collections of articles were assembled for various purposes. The clipping process was largely curtailed from 2007 onward, but not discontinued entirely, until 2014. The reason it was curtailed was the extensive record of coverage which is permanently available on the Internet.

It is important to bear in mind that the clippings are not a complete record of the coverage of me, but merely what came to my or some of my supporters' attention. However, the clippings do represent how the public was seeing things in the printed media overall.

As to other reporting on me, I was interviewed and profiled and reported on numerous times on mainstream television over the years. Internet searches will provide many hundreds of pieces: videos, written articles, papers, television news reports and taped radio shows where I appear or me or my matters are discussed. I have also collected many of these privately and have listed them. Also, there are my papers, which are archived at the University of Windsor. Finally, it is recommended that my book *Dominatrix on Trial* be read before looking at the clippings, if possible, to give the best perspective from which to examine the clippings.

The 2017 collection consists of almost 300 clippings. Most have now been assembled into an easily manageable 400 page presentation. The content of many clippings has been reset on the page to achieve uniformity of presentation. There has been almost no editing. In the descriptions of the clippings below, I refer to myself formally, as Bedford, for purposes of clarity.

Ordering. Clippings are ordered by date. For example, a clipping that appeared on September 17, 1994, is labeled "1994.09.17.A". If there was more than one item on that day they would be 1994.09.17.B, 1990.09.17.C, etc. A clipping is deemed "A" only because it was the first to be collected, not because it may be more important or interesting. If the day of the month is given as "32", it means the day within the month is uncertain.

Classification. This is done by first naming which legal matter it relates to, or who they pertain to (meaning Bedford or others), for given time periods. Thus, for example, if something is classed as "Trial 2", it means only it occurred at that time and may not deal directly with the trial. Then the publication is given, and then the author's first initial and last name, if available. This approach gives context and flow to the hundreds of articles in the collection. The following are brief explanations of the classifications adopted for this collection.

- **RAID** - Sep 1994 to Oct 1995 "Bondage Bungalow" raided.
- **TRIAL 1** - Oct 1995 to Nov 1995 - Charges stayed.

- **APPEAL 1** - Nov 1995 to Aug 1996 - Crown appeals, wins, trial ordered.
- **APPEAL 2** - Jun 1997 – Bedford appeals, loses, trial ordered.
- **PAS TJB 90S** - 1994 to 2005. Profiles and stories. About Bedford.
- **PAS OTH 90S** - 1994 to 2005. Profiles and stories. Other.
- **TRIAL 2** - Apr 1998 - Main trial begins, postponed, change in counsel.
- **TRIAL 3** - Jul to Oct 1998 - 8 days in court. Main trial. Bedford loses.
- **APPEAL 3** - 1999 - Bedford appeals, loses.
- **APPEAL 4** - 2000 - Bedford denied leave to appeal by Supreme Court.
- **TRIAL 4** – 2007 to 2010 - Bedford v. Canada, strikes down prostitution laws.
- **APPEAL 5** - Nov 2010 to Apr 2012 - Appeal. Mainly a Bedford win.
- **APPEAL 6** - May 2012 to Jan 2014 - Supreme Court. Bedford wins.
- **PAS TJB 2K6** - 2006 to 2014 - Profiles and stories. About Bedford.
- **PAS OTH 2K6** - 2006 to 2014 - Profiles and stories. Other.
- **C36 TJB** - 2014 onward. The debate over new law. By or about Bedford.
- **C36 OTH** - 2014 onward. The debate over the new law. Other.

LISTING AND DESCRIPTIONS OF CLIPPINGS AS AT DECEMBER 2017

I can send you these items if you e-mail me.

terrijeanbedford@gmail.com

| |
|---|
| <p>1994.09.17.A RAID Toronto Sun G. Christopoulos “Bondage Bungalow” “Raid on Kinky Suburban Sex Den” The screaming headline was followed by a story inside. It is from this headline that Bedford became known as the “Bondage Bungalow Dominatrix.” <i>Bondage Bungalow Fantasies</i> and <i>Bondage Bungalow Stories</i> are the titles of her second and third books. A press conference called by the police provided images and so forth, which were also produced in headline fashion on television.</p> |
| <p>1994.09.17.B RAID Toronto Star P. Moloney “Police raid sex parlor, seize whips, handcuffs” The raid was less reported by the Toronto Star. It was not reported by the Globe and Mail or the National Post.</p> |
| <p>1994.09.18.A RAID Richmond Hill Liberal Unsigned “Torture gear found in Thornhill home” This was reported a day after the other papers. Of interest are the comments that indicate more than one officer commented to the media at the press conference.</p> |
| <p>1994.09.18.B RAID Toronto Sun I. Harvey</p> |

| |
|--|
| <p>“Fetishists flay cops over raid” Little comment is required. The article is very informative and the reporter did his homework. Robert Dante is one of “The Dozen” to whom Bedford dedicated her first book.</p> |
| <p>1994.09.26.A RAID Toronto Star B. Brown “Separating sexual acts from sexual fantasies” The article referred to the current “bondage craze.” It is interesting to speculate on whether, if we were told this article was written 2017, we would doubt that there was such a craze, given the recent massive sales of the “Fifty Shades of Gray” novels.</p> |
| <p>1994.09.29.A RAID Eye Magazine A. Hycrest “No sex please, we’re fetishists” Hycrest was a prominent figure in the battle for sex worker rights and protection, in the 90’s and beyond. Hycrest wrote a book in 1997 about the sex trade in Toronto and issues related to it. A review of the book is included in the collection.</p> |
| <p>1994.10.08.A RAID Toronto Sun I. Harvey “Bound to please: Leather/SM convention caters to emerging subculture” The significance of the article seems to be how it contrasts how police in Toronto differ in their treatment of houses like Bedford’s, compared to York Regional Police the month before.</p> |
| <p>1994.10.09.A RAID Toronto Sun Unsigned “Exit to Eden” / Dominating Dana / S&M Lite This article further puts Bedford’s house in a broader societal context. Note how the movie’s star mentions the Bedford raid.</p> |
| <p>1994.10.10.A RAID Globe and Mail A. Nasrulla “Portable ‘dungeon’ tops list of toys at leather convention” More indication of what seems to be legal and not. The Globe and Mail is, and was, Canada’s “national newspaper.” The Toronto Star was the largest selling daily newspaper in Canada. Note the article does not mention the Bedford case.</p> |
| <p>1994.10.10.B RAID Toronto Star S. Walker “Leather convention celebrates joys of human bondage” This article also does not mention the Bedford case.</p> |
| <p>1994.10.13.A PAS OTH 90S Globe and Mail S. French “Just a little bondage between friends” Yet another major article that does not mention the Bedford case. This struck us as somewhat curious and the reasons for this and the preceding two pieces not mentioning the raid are not clear.</p> |
| <p>1994.10.15.A RAID Globe and Mail D. Finkle “It may be kinky, but it’s no longer crazy” This article does mention the raid. However it contains a number of blatant inaccuracies: (1) Several residents did not complain (2) The client was not affixed to anything, but was getting dressed to leave (3) There was no logbook (4) There were many more than 100</p> |

| |
|--|
| <p>clients (5) The police never said there was sex for money. The article also gives the impression that activists had turned on Bedford, whereas a defence fund was set up and a support group was active awaiting the trial. There was nothing further for them to say at that time.</p> |
| <p>1994.10.18.A RAID Globe and Mail Cartoonist “Exit to Ottawa” This cartoon was that day’s a cartoon on the editorial page. Again, it shown how mainstream the practice of S&M was as it referred to the movie “Exit to Eden” then playing in cinemas.</p> |
| <p>1994.10.32.A RAID Boudoir Noir R. Dante “Sensational Madame de Sade and the Media” This appeared in Dante’s monthly magazine, distributed across North America.</p> |
| <p>1994.10.32.B RAID Richmond Hill Town Crier Unsigned “Police get new spokesperson” Norman Miles was removed from his position as spokesman because the September 16 press conference he called was on his own initiative and without proper authorization. It was a major embarrassment to the York Regional Police. It also laid the foundation for Bedford’s eventual fame.</p> |
| <p>1994.12.03.A PAS OTH 90S Globe and Mail C. Lawrence “Manhattanites’ new role is ‘tops’”</p> |
| <p>1994.12.31.A RAID Toronto Star R. Dimanno “Goofs, gaffes, goons: That was the year that was”</p> |
| <p>1995.01.01.A RAID Toronto Sun T. Nesdoly “Whiplash: Inside the secret world of S&M Playhouses” One of Bedford’s clients gave an interview to the Toronto Sun. He has said it portrayed him accurately. Bedford thought the article a good one.</p> |
| <p>1995.10.04.A PAS OTH 90S Globe and Mail T. Claridge “Sexual assault conviction upheld” This article reports on a judicial decision that is still cited today, in 2017. The decision did not lead to closing of houses such as Bedford’s, nor have there been any similar rulings since to our knowledge.</p> |
| <p>1995.10.06.A RAID Toronto Sun I. Harvey “Cops whip condo S-M parlour” This raid was, it would seem, the result of a nuisance (noise and traffic) complaint by residents in an apartment building. We saw no other articles.</p> |
| <p>1995.10.24.A TRIAL 1 Toronto Star B. Demara “Bondage lovers back dominatrix on trial” This is the only set-up piece for Trial 1 that has come to our attention. The legal fees were much in excess of the \$10k cited, and the \$10k was from Bedford’s immediate circle, to protect the anonymity of the contributors. Some minor amounts were contributed by doms and others.</p> |

| |
|---|
| <p>1995.10.25.A TRIAL 1 Toronto Star R. Dimanno “Crown, cops get a whipping of sorts from judge in court” This is one of the articles reporting the result of Trial 1 after 2 days in court. None of the articles were front page news. The story was, however, reported on the news radio station. The Internet was just emerging this year and few households had access to it. Note also that all articles did not report that Bedford’s lawyer was planning to file 4 more motions to quash the charges if the first motion did not carry. These motions included overuse of the search warrant to close the business, and that the activities in the house were theatrical performances. The press had no way of knowing that these were at hand but not introduced.</p> |
| <p>1995.10.25.B TRIAL 1 Toronto Sun I. Harvey “Queen of S&M beats rap” See comment for 1995.10.25.A.</p> |
| <p>1995.10.25.C TRIAL 1 Toronto Star B. Demara “Bawdy house charges rejected” See comment for 1995.10.25.A. Also, note information in the second last paragraph, where in the motion that resulting in the quashing of the charges the defence argued that the police investigators should have included one of the five definitions in the Criminal Code that accompanied bawdy house provisions.</p> |
| <p>1995.10.29.A TRIAL 1 Toronto Sun I. Harvey “Copper eyed kinky antics: ‘He wanted to watch” The reporter wrongly reported that the 5 defendants were planning to avoid being served with an appeal. No such intent existed.</p> |
| <p>1995.10.29.B TRIAL 1 Richmond Hill Liberal D. Teetzel “S&M toys obsolete now”</p> |
| <p>1995.10.29.C PAS OTH 90S Toronto Sun I. Harvey “2 slapped with bawdy house charges” This raid of a major S&M facility in Toronto proper led to meetings of doms who were concerned about a trend developing. However there were no further raids, and this one, although just days after Bedford’s court victory, was obviously planned well before and was probably not connected. The motivation for the raid, according to information we obtained, may have been to get at the male charged in the raid, who was a former police officer, according to this information.</p> |
| <p>1995.11.25.A APPEAL 1 Toronto Star Unsigned “‘Erotica house’ case appealed” This is the only piece we saw that announced the Crown’s decision to appeal.</p> |
| <p>1995.12.27.A APPEAL 1 Toronto Sun I. Harvey “Law punishes us, bondage fans say: Lack of rules called a pain” This reflects the earlier article, 1995.10.29.C, about the motivation to activism concerning the laws and their enforcement.</p> |
| <p>1996.01.01.A RAID Toronto Star R. Dimanno</p> |

| |
|--|
| <p>“For goofs, gaffes. Some year!” Each year this widely read columnist produces this feature at the new year.</p> |
| <p>1996.10.01.A APPEAL 1 Toronto Star J. Rankin “Dominatrix fights ‘for my lifestyle’” This is the only article about the Crown’s successful appeal. The “Group of Seven” eventually expanded to “The Dozen.” There were more than a dozen over time. Bedford had drafted a manuscript about her house but she was living quietly and anonymously at that time and it was decided that a book would make more sense when her matter in court was finished.</p> |
| <p>1996.10.10.A APPEAL 1 X-tra Magazine J. Borra “The law that bans paid spanking: Bawdy house rules ridiculous, dominatrix argues” the article is accurate. Note however that Callahan was not representing her in the criminal case, but rather in civil matters such as discussions about publishing her manuscript.</p> |
| <p>1996.10.31.A APPEAL 1 Canadian Press G. Galloway “Sadist becomes advocate for S and M lifestyle” This is one of the articles by this reporter on this date, appearing nationally, but which we did not notice in the Toronto papers. However, our monitoring was not comprehensive.</p> |
| <p>1996.10.31.B APPEAL 1 Canadian Press G. Galloway “Dominatrix to challenge bawdy house laws in Supreme Court” See comment for Article 1996.10.31.A.</p> |
| <p>1997.05.03.A PAS OTH 90S Globe and Mail W. McElroy “Just a working boy/girl from Sudbury” Highcrest was a leading activist for the rights of sex-workers and reform of laws concerning the sex trade. She wrote after Bedford was raided, but she had discussed Bedford in an earlier article in this collection. Highcrest’s book is a landmark document.</p> |
| <p>1997.05.28.A APPEAL 2 Montreal Gazette Unsigned “Dominatrix turned down: Supreme Court rules Toronto woman must face charges” The court refused to hear the appeal because it was on a motion.</p> |
| <p>1997.05.28.B APPEAL 2 Toronto Star S. Bindman “Thornhill dominatrix takes a legal beating” See comment for Article 1997.05.28.B.</p> |
| <p>1997.05.28.C APPEAL 2 National Post Unsigned “Supreme Court refuses to hear dominatrix case” See comment for Article 1997.05.28.B.</p> |
| <p>1997.06.24.A PAS OTH 90S Globe and Mail T. Ha “Case chills Montreal’s S&M pleasure seekers” The article did not mention Bedford, but the prominent lawyer who was defending Wahl gave us hope that another challenge to the laws might emerge. As it turned out however, Wahl did not return to Canada to face the charges.</p> |

| |
|--|
| <p>1997.07.23.A PAS OTH 90S Toronto Star T. Ho “Troubled force told to get plan” The Bedford case was seen as an example of the abuse of police power and resources.</p> |
| <p>1997.08.32.A PAS OTH 90S Montreal Gazette Unsigned “Sore back lands Montreal dominatrix in more trouble with court” See comment for Article 1997.06.24.A.</p> |
| <p>1997.09.32.A PAS OTH 90S Globe and Mail W. Thorsell “We are too tolerant of dysfunction in the justice system”</p> |
| <p>1997.11.12.A PAS OTH 90S Toronto Sun J. Schmied “Stripper whips ‘em in court” This was not seen or treated by anyone as a precedent.</p> |
| <p>1997.11.13.A PAS OTH 90S Toronto Sun R. Dante “Letter of the Day” This article makes the interesting point that the bar’s customers were not charged as “found-ins.”</p> |
| <p>1997.12.02.A PAS TJB 90S Toronto Star H. Levy “Madame de Sade tests S and M laws” With this article this reporter began his dedicated coverage of Bedford’s case. He interviewed her at his home. The 5 defendants were now represented by 3 lawyers, headed by Klippenstein, whose firm specialized in “frontier cases.”</p> |
| <p>1997.12.31.A PAS TJB 90S Toronto Star R. Dimanno “A look back at a year of stupid living”</p> |
| <p>1998.04.21.A TRIAL 2 Richmond Hill Liberal Unsigned “Stay out of my dungeon: Dominatrix case expected to set legal precedent” The case went to trial proper 3.5 years after the September 1994 raid. Three lawyers represented the 5 defendants and Professor Alan Young was an advisor to the team. Klippenstein was the lead lawyer. The Crown moved that conflict existed in the defence team because each defendant did not have their own lawyer. The case was adjourned for the judge to consider the motion.</p> |
| <p>1998.04.21.B TRIAL 2 Globe and Mail Canadian Press “Dominatrix smarting over legal move” See comment on Article 1998.04.21.A.</p> |
| <p>1998.04.22.A TRIAL 2 Toronto Sun P. Cantin “Whipping up support: Dominatrix defends her ‘service’ in court today” See comment on Article 1998.04.21.A.</p> |
| <p>1998.04.22.B TRIAL 2 Now Magazine Unsigned “Yes Ma’am: Dominatrix sets up defence fund”</p> |
| <p>1998.04.23.A TRIAL 2 X-tra Magazine B. Cossman “No sex, just whipping: Dominatrix tries to duck bawdy house charges” Cossman was, and is, a leading expert on sex trade laws in Canada. Bedford let her read</p> |

| |
|---|
| the manuscript, which, over a decade later, Bedford augmented and published. |
| 1998.04.23.B TRIAL 2 Toronto Sun P. Shanok “Bondage bungalow trial delayed” |
| 1998.04.23.C TRIAL 2 Toronto Star Unsigned “Dominatrix trial faces delays” |
| 1998.04.24.A PAS TJB 90S Windsor Star V. Mandal “Dominatrix whipped up Windsor scandal in ‘80’s” Windsor was Bedford’s hometown before she moved to Toronto. The article reflects the interest in the trial outside Toronto, as does coverage by the wire services. |
| 1998.04.24.B TRIAL 2 Toronto Sun D. Rider “Bondage lawyers booted: Pain madam’s defence team in conflict, judge rules” The tactic of sharing counsel, by the defence, was successful in getting the charges dropped on all but Bedford. The ruling was as expected, thought Klippenstein thought it was possible he might prevail in the motion. The ruling was 17 pages. It was never provided to Bedford. However, as Bedford has said in her book, Young was in a better position, as a law professor with the summer off and his professor’s income and student volunteers, to provide a full defence for the now one client. |
| 1998.04.24.C TRIAL 2 Globe and Mail H. Shaw “Dominatrix stripped of defence team” See comment for Article 1998.04.24.B. |
| 1998.04.24.D TRIAL 2 Toronto Star R. Vanderlinde “New lawyer jumps to dominatrix’s defence” See comment for Article 1998.04.24.B. |
| 1998.04.24.E TRIAL 2 Toronto Star Unsigned “Professor represents Madame de Sade” See comment for Article 1998.04.24.B. |
| 1998.05.01.A TRIAL 2 Thunder Bay Paper Cartoonist “Mayor Mel Lastman’s secret fantasy” (cartoon) Mayor of Toronto. He attempted to crack down on “squeegee kids.” These were, mainly youngsters, poor people who washed car windows at stoplights with squeegees, often without being asked to, and then asked for a handout. |
| 1998.05.01.B TRIAL 2 Toronto Sun P. Shanok “Pals gave ‘til it hurt: Dominatrix fundraiser” The fundraiser is described in detail in her book. The intent was to raise money and hopefully attract a publisher. She sold several of the manuscripts. The title was later changed to “Dominatrix on Trial.” |
| 1998.05.01.C TRIAL 2 Toronto Sun P. Cantin “Dominatrix whips up legal fund” See comment for Article 1998.05.01.B. |
| 1998.07.06.A TRIAL 3 Ottawa Citizen Unsigned |

| |
|--|
| <p>“Dominatrix hopes to whip legal system” Coverage of Bedford’s fundraiser was national. Also see comment for 1998.05.01.B.</p> |
| <p>1998.07.20.A TRIAL 3 Toronto Star H. Levy “The trial and terrors of Madame de Sade: Court case could set legal precedent” A set-up piece appearing the day before the trial began. This reporter covered the entire trial for Canada’s largest selling newspaper.</p> |
| <p>1998.07.22.A TRIAL 3 Montreal Gazette Unsigned “A peek into the dungeon: Undercover cop testifies about visit to dominatrix’s lair” The series of 1998.07.22 articles in this collection represent the extensive national coverage the trial received. Television reporters were also there in force, along with satellite dishes on trucks.</p> |
| <p>1998.07.22.B TRIAL 3 Toronto Star H. Levy “Thrashing made me ‘shudder’, officer testifies: Madame de Sade trial begins...” See comment on Article 1998.07.22.A.</p> |
| <p>1998.07.22.C TRIAL 3 Windsor Star J. Marshall “Dominatrix trial begins: Court to decide if bondage, spanking constitute sex” See comment on Article 1998.07.22.A.</p> |
| <p>1998.07.22.D TRIAL 3 Toronto Sun P. Cantin “Officers rebuffed in bid for sex from dominatrix” See comment on Article 1998.07.22.A.</p> |
| <p>1998.07.22.E TRIAL 3 Toronto Sun P. Cantin “Whips, chains and handcuffs – but no sex” See comment on Article 1998.07.22.A.</p> |
| <p>1998.07.23.A TRIAL 3 X-tra Magazine R. Giese “Keep your hands off my bawdy” X-tra Magazine was the main publication for Toronto’s gay and lesbian communities.</p> |
| <p>1998.07.23.B TRIAL 3 Globe and Mail M. Murphy “Dominatrix longs for return to work” See comment on Article 1998.07.22.A.</p> |
| <p>1998.07.23.C TRIAL 3 Now Magazine G. Hannon “Why Punish Madame? Landmark trial” NOW Magazine appeared, and still appears in 2017, weekly in Toronto. It is a free listing of shows of all types, and ads for numerous things. The mainstay of the ads, and magazine revenue (it is free to readers), are ads for escorts and other services related to the sex trade. There are also some ads for houses like Bedford’s, and doms advertising their services. The magazine also has several pages of mainly local news and views and often has major feature articles like this one from Professor Gerald Hannon of Ryerson University in Toronto. Hannon also worked as a male sex worker. This article was both done from an informed perspective and was well researched.</p> |
| <p>1998.07.23.D TRIAL 3 Toronto Star J. Coyle</p> |

| |
|--|
| <p>“Thrashing out the whys of S&M” In this article the reporter shares some of the things her noticed about the Bedford matter to date that he found amusing or interesting. The article is not, however, informative or insightful.</p> |
| <p>1998.07.23.E TRIAL 3 Toronto Star H. Levy “Lawyer tries to block video of dominatrix” This article, and a number of other articles, discuss a video of Bedford doing a session with a client for about an hour, during which she inserted a dildo in his anus. The video was seen by many as refuting the defence position that there was no sex for sale at the house. The man in the video actually was a friend of Bedford (who helped her build the dungeon) and the video was one of over 100 videos seized. The defence said that if there was “frequent and habitual” sex acts (as required for a bawdy-house conviction) the single video among many other videos and much other evidence, did little to establish “frequent and habitual.”</p> |
| <p>1998.07.23.F TRIAL 3 Toronto Sun P. Cantin “Video shows bondage romp” See comment for Article 1998.07.23.E.</p> |
| <p>1998.07.24.A TRIAL 3 Globe and Mail M. Murphy “Torture our goal, not sex: mistress: Ex employee describes life at House of Erotica” This is one of several articles on the testimony of “Princess”, an employee of Bedford charged in the raid. Her charges were dropped and she was called as a Crown witness. She took the position she did not sell sex acts. She also said that an officer assaulted Bedford and the police played with equipment seized and kissed the feet of one of the mistresses. Much was made from all of this in the media.</p> |
| <p>1998.07.24.B TRIAL 3 Toronto Star H. Levy “Dominatrix was slapped by officer, trial told” See comment for Article 1998.07.24.A.</p> |
| <p>1998.07.24.C TRIAL 3 Toronto Sun P. Cantin “Cop to bondage queen: Call me master” See comment for Article 1998.07.24.A.</p> |
| <p>1998.07.24.D TRIAL 3 Globe and Mail M. Murphy “No sex here, officer told: Whips, paddles seized in raid on dominatrix’s bungalow” See comment for Article 1998.07.24.A.</p> |
| <p>1998.07.25.A TRIAL 3 Windsor Star J. Marshall “Lawyer grills officer at trial of dominatrix” This article is the first of a few in this collection dealing with the cross-examination of the lead investigating officer. He was cross-examined about what legal basis he had for charging Bedford, the reasons for seizing over 700 items in the raid, and the behaviour of the police during the raid.</p> |
| <p>1998.07.25.B TRIAL 3 Toronto Sun P. Cantin “Mistress to cop: Lick my boots”</p> |

| |
|---|
| See comment for Article 1998.07.25.A. |
| 1998.07.25.C TRIAL 3 Toronto Star H. Levy "Officer knelt for 'mistress' trial told" See comment for Article 1998.07.25.A. |
| 1998.07.25.D TRIAL 3 Globe and Mail Canadian Press "Police cavorted during raid, constable says" See comment for Article 1998.07.25.A. |
| 1998.07.26.A TRIAL 3 Toronto Sun H. Bird "Madam's cure for summer doldrums" This article offers one reporter's thoughts and observations, neither very in-depth, on the Bedford trial. This reporter, however, continued to cover Bedford after the trial was over. |
| 1998.07.26.B TRIAL 3 Toronto Sun V. Gibson "S&M no longer on the Q.T." This article provides excellent societal context for someone learning about the Bedford trials. |
| 1998.07.27.A TRIAL 3 Windsor Star Canadian Press "Dominatrix case brings theatrics into the courtroom" This article gives readers a sense of what someone attending the trial is seeing. |
| 1998.07.27.B TRIAL 3 Toronto Star H. Levy "Sex isn't S&M goal, professor says" This is one of a number of articles where the testimony and cross-examination of expert witnesses for the defence is covered. |
| 1998.07.27.C TRIAL 3 Globe and Mail Canadian Press "Sadomasochism not set, experts say" See comment for Article 1998.07.27.B. |
| 1998.07.27.D TRIAL 3 Toronto Sun P. Worthington "An uncommon body house" See comment for Article 1998.07.27.B. |
| 1998.07.27.E TRIAL 3 Toronto Sun P. Cantin "Real sex cheaper than S&M: Experts" See comment for Article 1998.07.27.B. |
| 1998.07.28.A TRIAL 3 Montreal Gazette H. Shaw "S&M a form of escape, psychologist tells court" See comment for Article 1998.07.27.B. |
| 1998.07.30.A TRIAL 3 Toronto Sun M. Coren "The stuff of nonsense in black leather" The reporter gives his personal opinions on Bedford's profession, the clients and on the authorities for pursuing this matter. |
| 1998.07.31.A TRIAL 3 Toronto Sun E. Moyle "Lifestyle: The ABC's of S&M" |

| |
|---|
| <p>This article discusses motivations behind participation in S&M and cites expert comments from both in and outside Bedford's trial.</p> |
| <p>1998.07.31.B TRIAL 3 Toronto Star H. Levy "Sex tape allowed at trial" See comment for Article 1998.07.23.E.</p> |
| <p>1998.08.01.A TRIAL 3 Toronto Sun P. Shanok "Her 2,500 clients: No sex please: Dominatrix whips up courtroom" Report on Bedford's testimony at trial. Many articles appeared nationally to report on this day at trial. A few are included in this collection.</p> |
| <p>1998.08.01.B TRIAL 3 Globe and Mail H. Shaw "Dominatrix describes path from prostitution to pain" See comment for Article 1998.08.01.A.</p> |
| <p>1998.08.01.C TRIAL 3 Toronto Star H. Levy "Sadomasochism made woman feel 'powerful, beautiful', court told" See comment for Article 1998.08.01.A.</p> |
| <p>1998.08.02.A TRIAL 3 Toronto Star H. Steward "Sade to say, I don't get it" See comment for Article 1998.07.27.B.</p> |
| <p>1998.08.03.A TRIAL 3 Toronto Star R. Giese "Why all the fuss, outrage about Madame de Sade?" This article is a good overview, from the reporter's perspective, of the issues raised by Bedford's trial.</p> |
| <p>1998.08.20.A TRIAL 3 Frank Magazine Unsigned "The Home Dungeon Depot (cartoon)" Frank Magazine was a monthly comedy publication poking fun at Canadian politicians and celebrities. By just giving Bedford's name without any explanation of who she was, it indicates how widespread her notoriety had become as a result of her trial and prior publicity. Skits on television also reinforced this.</p> |
| <p>1998.08.21.A TRIAL 3 Globe and Mail T. Claridge "Customers told no sex in dungeon: dominatrix" Bedford concluded her testimony and was briefly cross-examined by the Crown.</p> |
| <p>1998.08.21.B TRIAL 3 Toronto Star H. Levy "'Treat me like a fly', client told dominatrix" See comment for Article 1998.08.21.A.</p> |
| <p>1998.08.21.C TRIAL 3 Toronto Sun S. Magnish "I chased client with fly-swatter: Madame tells trial" See comment for Article 1998.08.21.A.</p> |
| <p>1998.08.22.A TRIAL 3 Toronto Sun S. Magnish "Dominatrix and Clinton agree on sex – lawyer" The article reports on the final arguments of the lawyers and the judge's ruling on</p> |

| |
|---|
| admissibility of a video tape and expert evidence. |
| 1998.08.22.B TRIAL 3 Globe and Mail T. Claridge “Dominatrix defence set back by S&M tape” See comments on Article 1998.08.22.A. |
| 1998.08.30.A TRIAL 3 Toronto Star M. Raphael “Fetish chic: Forget Madame de Sade ... action’s downtown” The article discusses the S&M and fetish activity in Toronto and how mainstream and public it was. |
| 1998.08.31.A TRIAL 3 Toronto Star H. Levy “Bondage case lacks restraint in court” The article summarizes the trial to date, at which closing arguments had begun and were scheduled to continue. |
| 1998.09.01.A TRIAL 3 Toronto Star H. Levy “Crown, defence square off as dominatrix trial nears end” The article reports on the closing arguments in the case. The judge reserved his decision. |
| 1998.09.01.B TRIAL 3 Toronto Sun D. Chapman “Dominatrix a liar, Crown says” See comments on Article 1998.09.01.A. |
| 1998.09.01.C TRIAL 3 Globe and Mail H. Shaw “Dungeon catered to S&M depravity but not sex: lawyer” See comments on Article 1998.09.01.A. |
| 1998.09.01.D TRIAL 3 Montreal Gazette J. Marshall “Disregard morality issue, dominatrix trial judge told” See comments on Article 1998.09.01.A. |
| 1998.09.01.E TRIAL 3 Sun Media Newspapers D. Chapman “Crown claims dominatrix lied” See comments on Article 1998.09.01.A. |
| 1998.10.09.A TRIAL 3 Toronto Sun H. Bird “It’s D-Day for Mme. de Sade” The reporter overviews the broad questions and societal context raised at the Bedford trial. |
| 1998.10.09.B TRIAL 3 Toronto Star H. Levy “Ruling set for today in dominatrix case” The article simply informs readers that the verdict in the Bedford case is due this day. |
| 1998.10.10.A TRIAL 3 Toronto Star H. Levy “Bondage Madame found guilty” Front page article in Canada’s largest selling newspaper. The judge convicted Bedford and ruled against the motion to stay the charges because of misuse of the search warrant to close the business instead of just gather evidence. |
| 1998.10.10.B TRIAL 3 Globe and Mail T. Claridge |

| |
|--|
| <p>“What’s a poor dominatrix to do? Judge refuses to clarify the rules” See comments for Article 1998.10.10.A. Also, the reporter notes that the clarity on issues being looked for in the trial did not emerge.</p> |
| <p>1998.10.10.C TRIAL 3 Toronto Star J. Coyle “Limp finish to titillating sex trial” See comments on Articles 1998.10.10.A and B.</p> |
| <p>1998.10.10.D TRIAL 3 Toronto Sun P. Shanok “She won’t admit she’s whipped: Dominatrix plans appeal” See comments on Articles 1998.10.10.A and B.</p> |
| <p>1998.10.10.E TRIAL 3 Vancouver Sun Unsigned “Dominatrix vows to continue ‘practice’ despite conviction” See comments on Articles 1998.10.10.A and B.</p> |
| <p>1998.10.11.A TRIAL 3 Toronto Star R. Dimanno “Judgment a lesson in perversity” The reporter lambasted the judge’s comments that casually dismissed the behaviour of the police in the raid. The article was a source of controversy which was major embarrassment to the judge and the authorities.</p> |
| <p>1998.10.11.B TRIAL 3 Toronto Star P. Gombu “Madame got raw deal, supporters say” The article provides an overview of the trial and controversies that emerged. It also provides reactions from activists who supported Bedford, among others.</p> |
| <p>1998.10.17.A TRIAL 3 Globe and Mail T. Claridge “Dominatrix fined \$3,000 by judge: Cost to taxpayers cited in rejecting jail term” Bedford is fined \$3k. The lawyers’ arguments for what the sentence should be is given in this article.</p> |
| <p>1998.10.17.B TRIAL 3 Toronto Sun P. Cantin “S&M boss gets off with fine: Slapped with \$3Gs penalty” See comments for Article 1998.10.17.A.</p> |
| <p>1998.10.17.C TRIAL 3 Toronto Star H. Levy “Madame slapped with fine of \$3,000” See comments for Article 1998.10.17.A.</p> |
| <p>1998.10.18.A TRIAL 3 Toronto Sun H. Bird “Sex and love and common sense?” The reporter gives her opinion on the verdict in the Bedford case.</p> |
| <p>1998.10.19.A TRIAL 3 Toronto Sun Editors “Ooh! Ahh! Ouch!” This lead editorial in a major Canadian chain of dailies comments on the case.</p> |
| <p>1998.10.20.A TRIAL 3 X-tra Magazine B. Cossman “Proof of penis play: Judge squirms out of ruling on tough SM question” This article by a professor specializing in sex trade law comments on the Bedford case.</p> |

| |
|--|
| <p>1998.10.22.A TRIAL 3 Globe and Mail Editors “Legalizing the oldest profession: Sex plus money should not be a crime” This lead editorial in “Canada’s National Newspaper” comments on the Bedford case and the laws that applied to it.</p> |
| <p>1998.10.27.A TRIAL 3 CBC Web Site Unsigned “Dominatrix joins Reform!: Madame cracks Preston’s whip” The national television show “This Hour Has 22 Minutes”, among others, had skits on the Bedford case. This clipping is from the Web site of that show.</p> |
| <p>1998.10.32.A TRIAL 3 Thunder Bay Paper Cartoonist “Mayor Mel Lastman’s Secret Fantasy” Mayor of Toronto. He attempted to crack down on “squeegee kids.” These were, mainly youngsters, poor people who washed car windows at stoplights with squeegees, often without being asked to, and then asked for a handout.</p> |
| <p>1998.12.04.A PAS OTH 90S Toronto Star H. Levy “Crown attorney’s office reviewed” This is the office that prosecuted Bedford.</p> |
| <p>1998.12.30.A PAS TJB 90S Frank Magazine Unsigned cartoon “Spank you, Spank you very much” See comment for Article 1998.08.10.A.</p> |
| <p>1998.12.31.A PAS TJB 90S Toronto Sun M. Mandel “’98: Oh, what a year!”</p> |
| <p>1998.12.31.B PAS TJB 90S Globe and Mail A. Gill “The year in sex” The article makes brief reference to Bedford.</p> |
| <p>1998.12.31.C PAS TJB 90S Toronto Star R. Dimanno “Stupid stuff gets us through 1998”</p> |
| <p>1999.04.01.A PAS OTH 90S Toronto Star H. Levy “Troubles plague York force” The article again demonstrates that how Bedford’s matter was handled was part of a larger problem of a police force with numerous systemic problems.</p> |
| <p>1999.04.01.B PAS OTH 90S Toronto Star H. Levy “York Region police called poorly run” See comment for Article 1999.04.01.A.</p> |
| <p>1999.08.24.A PAS OTH 90S National Post J. Prittie “Policing public sex in the city” This in-depth article is informative and insightful in discussing Canada’s laws concerning the sex trade and the implementation of those laws.</p> |
| <p>1999.09.18.A PAS OTH 90S Toronto Sun I. Harvey “Gay or straight, the law’s the law” See comments for Article 1999.08.24.A, noting however, that the Harvey article is less</p> |

| |
|---|
| informed and has less incite. |
| <p>1999.10.32.A PAS OTH 90S Psychology Today M. Apostolides “The pleasure of the pain: Why some people need S&M” This lengthy article in the magazine <i>Psychology Today</i> gives some information on the psychiatric aspects of S&M. Note that it cites interviews with 2 of the experts in Bedford’s trial.</p> |
| <p>1999.10.32.B PAS TJB 90S Chatelaine Magazine R. Reguly “The dominatrix: Meeting Terri-Jean Bedford” Robert Reguly was one of the most distinguished Canadian reporters and journalist ever, a national figure in his own right. He was personally known to one of Bedford’s supporters, who introduced him to Bedford. She spent many hours with him and his wife. Chatelaine magazine was one of the top selling magazines in Canada, geared to women. The magazine was a mainstay in doctors’ and dentists’ waiting rooms, and such places, as well as in libraries. The article is further indication of Bedford’s notoriety. Reguly met Bedford in 1998, during her big trial, and in the weeks after. However the article he wrote did not appear until almost a year later, the delay caused by negotiations over its placement.</p> |
| <p>2000.02.09.A APPEAL 3 Toronto Sun S. Green “Dominatrix whips up new biz: Bondage case being appealed” Bedford combined interviews for the upcoming appeal with the opportunity to advertise her new house in downtown Toronto, in this set-up piece for the appeal.</p> |
| <p>2000.03.09.A APPEAL 3 Toronto Sun H. Bird “Humiliating, but is it sex?” See comment for Article 2000.02.19.A.</p> |
| <p>2000.03.13.A APPEAL 3 National Post Unsigned “Dominatrix of ‘bondage bungalow’ to ask court to rule S&M practices not illegal” This article is a set-up piece only, for the appeal being heard that day by 3 judges at the Ontario Court of Appeal.</p> |
| <p>2000.03.13.B APPEAL 3 Toronto Star H. Levy “Dominatrix seeks to slip her bonds: Court asked to topple conviction, rule on S&M” This article, by the reporter who has followed the Bedford matter closely, is both a set-up piece for the appeal and a discussion of her new house.</p> |
| <p>2000.03.13.C APPEAL 3 Toronto Sun Canadian Press “Mistress not whipped yet: Dominatrix fights conviction in appeal court” Another set-up piece.</p> |
| <p>2000.03.13.D APPEAL 3 Globe and Mail Canadian Press “Convicted dominatrix renews court battle” Another set-up piece.</p> |
| <p>2000.03.13.E APPEAL 3 Kingston Whig Standard Unsigned “Dominatrix prepares for battle” Another set-up piece.</p> |

| |
|---|
| <p>2000.03.14.A APPEAL 3 Toronto Star H. Levy “Judges hold off on dominatrix ruling” The actual day (there was only one day) in court was widely reported in the media. Some of the articles that appeared have been placed in this collection.</p> |
| <p>2000.03.14.B APPEAL 3 Globe and Mail P. Cheney “Dominatrix defends ‘fantasy lifestyle’” See comment for Article 2000.03.14.A.</p> |
| <p>2000.03.14.C APPEAL 3 Globe and Mail M. Wente “What is sex? A dominatrix demands” Margaret Wente was one of Canada’s most prominent journalists, whose columns were widely read by influentials. Bedford did not know who she was during Wente’s visit to Bedford’s house, beyond that she was Margaret from the Globe and Mail, even after Wente had visited her for a few hours a few days before the hearing.</p> |
| <p>2000.03.14.D APPEAL 3 National Post S. Black “Lawyer likens domination to a kissing booth” See comment for Article 2000.03.14.A.</p> |
| <p>2000.03.14.E APPEAL 3 Toronto Sun A. Findlay “Clean as a kissing booth” See comment for Article 2000.03.14.A.</p> |
| <p>2000.03.14.F APPEAL 3 Toronto Star Unsigned “Appeal court reserves decision in dominatrix case” See comment for Article 2000.03.14.A.</p> |
| <p>2000.03.15.A APPEAL 3 National Post S. Black “Dominatrix transforms herself into cautious schoolmarm” During the publicity around the appeal hearing a reporter visited Bedford’s house a few days before the hearing.</p> |
| <p>2000.03.22.A APPEAL 3 Globe and Mail A. Jenkins “Dominating the Oscars” The appeal hearing was the week before the movie Oscar awards night. Bedford was a celebrity interviewed about her movie preferences and so forth.</p> |
| <p>2000.03.24.A APPEAL 3 Globe and Mail P. Cheney “Dominatrix loses bid for legal redemption” About 10 days after the Court of Appeal hearing the decision was released. It upheld Bedford’s conviction. This is one of the articles appearing that reported the decision and reactions to it.</p> |
| <p>2000.03.24.B APPEAL 3 Toronto Sun T. Burnett “Ruling ties up house of pain” See comments for Article 2000.03.24.A.</p> |
| <p>2000.03.25.A APPEAL 3 National Post D. Brown “Convicted dominatrix ready to take case to Supreme Court”</p> |

| |
|--|
| <p>See comments for Article 2000.03.24.A. Also note the fourth last paragraph, citing testimony by a former employee. The court failed, and the article failed, to note that the employee said, in cross-examination that these percentage were not correct.</p> |
| <p>2000.03.25.B APPEAL 3 Toronto Star C. Dunphy “Dominatrix still vowing to strike blow for justice” See comments for Article 2000.03.24.A. Also note the fourth last paragraph, citing testimony by a former employee. The court failed, and the article failed, to note that the employee said, in cross-examination that these percentage were not correct.</p> |
| <p>2000.03.27.A APPEAL 3 Globe and Mail Editors “Kitten with a whip” This editorial in “Canada’s National Newspaper” is very supportive of Bedford’s positions.</p> |
| <p>2000.06.12.A PAS TJB 90S Toronto Star J. Rankin “The buck started here” Following the loss at the Court of Appeal and during the months that passed before the Supreme Court of Canada would decide whether to hear her case, Bedford was occasionally in the news.</p> |
| <p>2000.07.20.A PAS TJB 90S NOW Magazine N. Lezama “I’m a woman of beauty in black panties” See comment on Article 2000.06.12.A.</p> |
| <p>2000.08.05.A PAS TJB 90S Toronto Star Unsigned “Pain in the mouth” See comment on Article 2000.06.12.A.</p> |
| <p>2000.10.09.A APPEAL 4 Globe and Mail P. Cheney “Dominatrix seeks supreme relief” A fundraiser was held in support of Bedford’s attempt to be heard by the Supreme Court of Canada.</p> |
| <p>2000.10.09.B APPEAL 4 Metro Unsigned “Dominatrix eyes funds for appeal” See comment for Article 2000.10.09.A.</p> |
| <p>2000.10.13.A APPEAL 4 National Post Unsigned “Supreme Court refuses to hear dominatrix case” Very little coverage was given to the decision of the Supreme Court not to hear her case.</p> |
| <p>2000.10.13.B APPEAL 4 Toronto Star J. McCarten “Supreme Court won’t hear two appeals” See comment for Article 2000.10.13.A.</p> |
| <p>2000.10.13.C APPEAL 4 Globe and Mail E. Anderssen “Supreme Court rejects dominatrix’s appeal” See comment for Article 2000.10.13.A.</p> |
| <p>2000.10.13.D APPEAL 4 Toronto Sun S. Rubec</p> |

| |
|--|
| <p>“Appeal gets boot” See comment for Article 2000.10.13.A.</p> |
| <p>2000.10.13.E APPEAL 4 X-tra Magazine Unsigned “Madam Terri-Jean” See comment for Article 2000.10.13.A.</p> |
| <p>2000.10.25.A PAS TJB 90S Globe and Mail Unsigned “Bondage madam gets her tools back” Bedford was allowed to retrieve the items seized in the 1994 raid when her case was closed, meaning no further appeal was possible. Two trips with a truck were required. The second trip was 2 years after the first, as many items were lost by the police for that period and Bedford was in no hurry for those remaining few items.</p> |
| <p>2000.10.25.B PAS TJB 90S Toronto Sun Unsigned “Dressed to thrill” See comment for Article 2000.10.25.A.</p> |
| <p>2000.11.14.A PAS TJB 90S Windsor Star D. Williamson “Speaker promises to whip you into shape” Bedford would occasionally be asked to speak or participate in panel discussions. The professor assisted the defence team in 1998, and had interviewed Bedford and visited Bedford’s Toronto house for her research.</p> |
| <p>2000.12.31.A PAS TJB 90S Toronto Star L. Barclay “A year worth” Bedford mentioned in highlights of the year ended.</p> |
| <p>2000.12.31.B PAS TJB 90S Hustler Magazine Unsigned “Sissy Maid Service mistress Madame de Sade”</p> |
| <p>2001.01.01.A PAS TJB 90S Toronto Star K. Gillespie “A happy, healthy and naughty 2001”</p> |
| <p>2001.01.01.B PAS TJB 90S Toronto Star R. Dimanno “Year 2000 in Review”</p> |
| <p>2001.02.32.A PAS TJB 90S Fashion Magazine Unsigned “Conventional Sex” Bedford had a booth at a trade show.</p> |
| <p>2001.06.01.A PAS TJB 90S Globe and Mail A. Lawlor “Dominatrix set to open special hotel for fetishists” Bedford had been running her Toronto house for 3 years when the article appeared. She knew privately that she would be closing in about a year for health reasons and because the property needed major renovations. So she expanded the business and went very public and wanted to make a splash before she closed. She even had her lawyer write to the police and tell them what she was doing and invite them to investigate and copied the press. She also had an open house for the media.</p> |
| <p>2001.06.02.A PAS TJB 90S Media Release T. Bedford</p> |

| |
|--|
| <p>“Fetish Open House” See comment for Article 2001.06.01.A.</p> |
| <p>2001.06.03.A PAS TJB 90S Ottawa Citizen S. Kari “Dominatrix flogs B&D B&B” See comment for Article 2001.06.01.A.</p> |
| <p>2001.06.03.B PAS TJB 90S Toronto Sun S. Erwin “Spankin’ new hotel opens for business” See comment for Article 2001.06.01.A.</p> |
| <p>2001.06.04.A PAS TJB 90S National Post C. Eby “Dominatrix opens bondage B&B business” See comment for Article 2001.06.01.A.</p> |
| <p>2001.06.16.A PAS TJB 90S Globe and Mail J. Wong “Jan Wong gets spanked” See comment for Article 2001.06.01.A. Also, it came to our attention that this article was very widely read and commented upon</p> |
| <p>2002.01.01.A PAS TJB 90S Toronto Star Unsigned “Local celebrities’ predictions for 2002”</p> |
| <p>2002.07.32.A PAS TJB 90S Toronto Life V. Cusak “Who Earns What”</p> |
| <p>2002.11.14.A APPEAL 4 Toronto Sun J. McCarten “S&M toys returned to queen: Eyeing leap to film” See comments on Article 2000.10.25.A.</p> |
| <p>2002.11.14.B APPEAL 4 Globe and Mail Unsigned “All in a day’s work” See comments on Article 2000.10.25.A.</p> |
| <p>2002.11.14.C APPEAL 4 National Post J. McCarten “Bondage queen collects her toys from police” See comments on Article 2000.10.25.A.</p> |
| <p>2003.06.26.A PAS OTH 90S Globe and Mail K. Makin “Openly gay judge named to Superior Court” Corbett was head lawyer in preparing the factum for Bedford seeking leave to appeal to the Supreme Court of Canada. Leave was denied.</p> |
| <p>2003.09.20.A PAS OTH 90S Toronto Star J. Coyle “Maverick lawyer rages at justice denied” Professor Young, Bedford’s lead lawyer at her trial and appeal, wrote a book critical of lawyers, the laws and the justice system. It has a chapter on the Bedford case.</p> |
| <p>2003.10.11.A PAS OTH 90S Toronto Sun K. Harris “MPs get their hooks into prostitution laws” From time to time the issues around the sex trade laws would resurface in the media.</p> |

| |
|---|
| <p>2004.07.26.A PAS OTH 90S Globe and Mail Editors “Legalize the oldest profession” From time to time the issues around the sex trade laws would resurface in the media.</p> |
| <p>2007.03.22.A TRIAL 4 Globe and Mail O. Akkad “Prostitutes launch constitutional challenge” Early in 2007 Professor Young and 3 plaintiffs sued the Government of Canada, saying that 3 sections of the Criminal Code relating to the sex trade were unconstitutional. The case proceeded in private with transcripts, witnesses and so forth until 2009, when open court hearings were held.</p> |
| <p>2007.03.22.B TRIAL 4 Toronto Star D. Black “Trio challenges prostitution laws” See comments on Article 2007.03.22.A.</p> |
| <p>2007.03.22.C TRIAL 4 Toronto Sun M. Strobel “Bid to challenge the country’s archaic laws” See comments on Article 2007.03.22.A.</p> |
| <p>2007.03.22.D TRIAL 4 National Post A. Hanes “Prostitutes challenge validity of laws” See comments on Article 2007.03.22.A.</p> |
| <p>2008.06.02.A TRIAL 4 Law Times G. Drummie “Provisions lead to ‘lack of safety’ for sex trade” See comments on Article 2007.03.22.A.</p> |
| <p>2008.06.06.A TRIAL 4 Westland Chronicle Unsigned “Dominatrix mounting constitutional challenge says sex is safest indoors” The one time the media reported on the private hearings was the day Bedford was cross-examined. She gave interviews before the sitting but the press was not allowed to attend the sessions until open court in October 2009.</p> |
| <p>2008.06.07.A TRIAL 4 Activa J. Huber “Case opens debate on where prostitutes can work” See comments on Article 2008.06.06.A.</p> |
| <p>2008.06.07.B TRIAL 4 Toronto Sun K. Connor “Dominatrix won’t be beaten” See comments on Article 2008.06.06.A.</p> |
| <p>2008.06.07.C TRIAL 4 Activa T. Burgmann “Sex safest off the streets, dominatrix says” See comments on Article 2008.06.06.A.</p> |
| <p>2008.06.10.A TRIAL 4 C.T.V. Unsigned “Prostitution is safest indoors: dominatrix” See comments on Article 2008.06.06.A.</p> |
| <p>2009.10.05.A TRIAL 4 Toronto Star T. Tyler “Sex workers set to launch landmark challenge”</p> |

| |
|---|
| Set-up piece for the open court hearings. |
| 2009.10.05.B TRIAL 4 Metro Unsigned “Dominatrix challenging prostitution law in court” Set-up piece for the open court hearings. |
| 2009.10.06.A TRIAL 4 Globe and Mail K. Makin “Changing how the world’s oldest profession is policed” Set-up piece for the open court hearings. |
| 2009.10.07.A TRIAL 4 National Post N. Alcoba “Oldest profession solicits federal legal protection” Report on Day 1 in open court. |
| 2009.10.07.B TRIAL 4 Toronto Sun K. Connor “Hookers call for new bawdy-house law” Report on Day 1 in open court. |
| 2009.10.07.C TRIAL 4 Metro Unsigned “Sex trade laws on trial” Report on Day 1 in open court. |
| 2009.10.07.D TRIAL 4 Toronto Star R. Dimanno “Prostitutes suffer with ‘sinister’ law” Report on Day 1 in open court. |
| 2009.10.07.E TRIAL 4 24HToronto Unsigned “Rights for sex workers: Advocates” Report on Day 1 in open court. |
| 2009.10.08.A TRIAL 4 Globe and Mail K. Makin “Crown’s witnesses characterized as liars and alarmists” Report on Day 2 in open court. |
| 2009.10.08.B TRIAL 4 National Post N. Alcoba “Prostitution laws ‘absurd’: lawyer” Report on Day 2 in open court. |
| 2009.10.08.C TRIAL 4 National Post D. Asper “Why turn prostitutes into criminals?” This opinion piece appeared on Day 3 of open court. |
| 2009.10.08.D TRIAL 4 National Post N. Alcoba “Prostitution laws protect against ‘moral corruption’” Report on Day 2 in open court. Alcoba had more than one article appearing that day. |
| 2009.10.09.A TRIAL 4 National Post Editors “Legalize the sex trade” This editorial appeared on Day 3 of open court. |
| 2009.10.13.A TRIAL 4 Toronto Sun J. Yuen “Red Light” |

| |
|--|
| <p>This article appeared in the middle of the open court days but did not mention the proceedings.</p> |
| <p>2009.10.27.A TRIAL 4 National Post N. Alcoba “Strike down prostitution laws: lawyer” This reporter was at all the open court days. There was little reporting in the media after the first 2 open court days.</p> |
| <p>2010.08.05.A PAS OTH 2K6 CBC Unsigned “Federal Government announces new regulations” The government wanted to put mandatory minimum jail sentences or fines for certain offenses, including keeping a common bawdy house. However just 2 months later the Himel decision forced the government to withdraw that proposed legislation, insofar as the bawdy house law was concerned.</p> |
| <p>2010.09.29.A TRIAL 4 Globe and Mail K. Makin “Court strikes down federal prostitution law” This is one of several articles in this collection for this day. The story was headline and front page news across the country. Bedford’s picture was everywhere. Editorial comments also appeared on the same day as the initial reports.</p> |
| <p>2010.09.29.B TRIAL 4 Toronto Star T. Tyler “Prostitution laws struck down” See comments on Article 2010.09.29.A</p> |
| <p>2010.09.29.C TRIAL 4 National Post N. Alcoba “Prostitution law ruling” See comments on Article 2010.09.29.A</p> |
| <p>2010.09.29.D TRIAL 4 Metro Unsigned “Red light gets the green light” See comments on Article 2010.09.29.A</p> |
| <p>2010.09.29.E TRIAL 4 Toronto Star Unsigned “Ex-prostitute feels like a citizen” See comments on Article 2010.09.29.A</p> |
| <p>2010.09.29.F TRIAL 4 National Post B. Kay “The right not to be ‘harmed’” See comments on Article 2010.09.29.A</p> |
| <p>2010.09.30.A TRIAL 4 National Post J. Moore “Your morals, my law” The days after the initial reports on the decision striking down the laws, many opinion pieces, editorial pieces, and even cartoons on the editorial pages appeared.</p> |
| <p>2010.09.30.B TRIAL 4 National Post Editors “Too high a price” See comments on Article 2010.09.30.A</p> |
| <p>2010.09.30.C TRIAL 4 Toronto Sun Editors</p> |

| |
|--|
| <p>“Sex workers still not protected” See comments on Article 2010.09.30.A</p> |
| <p>2010.09.32.A TRIAL 4 National Post J. Brean “Free-for-all’ if prostitution laws relaxed” See comments on Article 2010.09.30.A</p> |
| <p>2010.09.32.B TRIAL 4 Toronto Sun Cartoonist “Prostitution laws cartoon” See comments on Article 2010.09.30.A</p> |
| <p>2010.09.32.C TRIAL 4 National Post Cartoonist “Prostitution laws cartoon” See comments on Article 2010.09.30.A</p> |
| <p>2010.09.32.D TRIAL 4 Toronto Sun C. Blizzard “Screwed by prostitution ruling” See comments on Article 2010.09.30.A</p> |
| <p>2010.09.32.E APPEAL 5 Toronto Sun T. Cherry “Green light for red light? Caution light” See comments on Article 2010.09.30.A</p> |
| <p>2010.11.23.A APPEAL 5 24HNews Unsigned “Prostitution laws remain” The federal government sought to extend the 30 day stay on the decision of Judge Himel actually removing the prostitution laws. Professor Young agreed to an additional 30 day stay, so just before the 60 days ended a hearing was held at the Ontario Court of Appeal to further extend the stay. Bedford attended and was interviewed.</p> |
| <p>2010.11.24.A APPEAL 5 Toronto Star L. Diebel “I want an answer, and I want it now” Bedford asked reporters to go to the prime minister, who was in the Toronto area that day, and ask him not to appeal the Himel decision. They did. There is television and Internet footage of Prime Minister Harper fielding questions sent by Bedford.</p> |
| <p>2010.11.29.A APPEAL 5 Toronto Star R. Dimanno “Sexual anarchy is not nigh” This is a very informative and important article. It reviews the constitutional case thus far and takes issue with those who disagreed with the decision.</p> |
| <p>2010.12.03.A APPEAL 5 Toronto Sun M. Mandel “Sin City gets red-lighted” The media reported Mr. Harper’s response to Bedford and the decision of the court to keep laws in place until the following spring, when the court was likely to hear the appeal.</p> |
| <p>2010.12.03.B APPEAL 5 Metro Unsigned “Prostitution is illegal, for now” See comments on Article 2010.12.03.A</p> |
| <p>2010.12.03.C APPEAL 5 Toronto Sun B. Lilley</p> |

| |
|--|
| <p>“Harper shrugs off challenge from dominatrix” See comments on Article 2010.12.03.A</p> |
| <p>2010.12.22.A PAS TJB 2K6 Torontoist J. Walker “2010 Hero: Terri-Jean Bedford, Val Scott, Amy Lebovitch and Justice Susan Himel</p> |
| <p>2010.12.31.A PAS TJB 2K6 Toronto Sun J. Agar “My top 10 GTA quotes for 2010”</p> |
| <p>2011.04.08.A APPEAL 5 Globe and Mail K. Makin “Legalizing prostitution would corrupt: prosecution” This article summarizes the brief filed by the government to the Ontario Court of Appeal. The filing was several weeks before the 5 days of open court in the summer.</p> |
| <p>2011.04.11.A APPEAL 5 Globe and Mail Editors “Liberalization is the path to protection” This editorial’s appearance did not coincide with any decision or court dates.</p> |
| <p>2011.05.05.A APPEAL 5 Metro Unsigned “Sex trade workers say Ottawa trivializing appeal” This article is simply announcing the dates for the hearing of the appeal.</p> |
| <p>2011.06.12.A PAS TJB 2K6 Toronto Star J. Rankin “The Making of a Bad Girl” This article was a big spread in Canada’s largest selling daily newspaper. It was awkward to clip and paste into this collection. The interview was given at the time Bedford’s first book, <i>Dominatrix on Trial</i>, which was her memoirs, came out. It was also given just a few days before the hearings began at the Ontario Court of Appeal.</p> |
| <p>2011.06.13.A APPEAL 5 Toronto Sun S. Pazzano “Unhappy Hookers” Five days of hearings at the Ontario Court of Appeal began. There was a large overflow room at the court. Lawyers, students and others filled all seats in both the court and overflow rooms each day. Media coverage was extensive.</p> |
| <p>2011.06.13.C APPEAL 5 Toronto Star T. Tyler “Sex trade on trial in landmark case” See comments on Article 2011.06.13A</p> |
| <p>2011.06.13.D APPEAL 5 National Post Unsigned “Dominatrix Terri-Jean Bedford has some fun” See comments on Article 2011.06.13A</p> |
| <p>2011.06.14.A APPEAL 5 National Post A. Humphreys “Judges grill government lawyer on sex trade appeal” See comments on Article 2011.06.13A</p> |
| <p>2011.06.14.B APPEAL 5 Toronto Sun S. Pazzano “Ex-hooker sees both sides of the job” See comments on Article 2011.06.13A</p> |
| <p>2011.06.14.C APPEAL 5 Toronto Sun S. Pazzano</p> |

| |
|---|
| <p>“Rough ride over prostitution laws” See comments on Article 2011.06.13A</p> |
| <p>2011.06.14.D APPEAL 5 Toronto Sun B. Lilley “Cracking whip on prostitution” See comments on Article 2011.06.13A</p> |
| <p>2011.06.14.E APPEAL 5 National Post Editors “Fixing our broken prostitution laws” See comments on Article 2011.06.13A. Also, this editorial appeared in this right wing national newspaper.</p> |
| <p>2011.06.14.F APPEAL 5 National Post C. Blatchford “Frank talk from the front lines” See comments on Article 2011.06.13A</p> |
| <p>2011.06.14.G APPEAL 5 Globe and Mail K. Makin “Judges probe inequity in prostitution law” See comments on Article 2011.06.13A</p> |
| <p>2011.06.15.A APPEAL 5 Globe and Mail Editors “Murder and the working girl” See comments on Article 2011.06.13A. This is an editorial in the Globe and Mail.</p> |
| <p>2011.06.16.A APPEAL 5 Toronto Star Editors “Muddled logic on the sex trade” See comments on Article 2011.06.13A</p> |
| <p>2011.06.16.B APPEAL 5 National Post J. Morton “Let Parliament decide on prostitution” See comments on Article 2011.06.13A</p> |
| <p>2011.06.16.C APPEAL 5 National Post C. Selley “Your neighbour, the dominatrix” See comments on Article 2011.06.13A</p> |
| <p>2011.06.16.D APPEAL 5 Metro Unsigned “Hardest hurdle is proving legislation too strict, justice says” See comments on Article 2011.06.13A</p> |
| <p>2011.06.18.A APPEAL 5 National Post L. Nguyen “Prostitution laws stay untouched for now” This article appeared after the 5 days in court concluded. The court ordered the stay on Himel’s decision to be extended until the 5 judges had released their decision, expected in late 2011 or early 2012.</p> |
| <p>2011.06.18.B APPEAL 5 Toronto Sun M. Coren “No sex for sale, please” See comments on Article 2011.06.18A</p> |
| <p>2011.06.19.A APPEAL 5 Toronto Sun M. Mandel “The Biggest Fight”</p> |

| |
|---|
| See comments on Article 2011.06.18A. Here the reporter sat with Bedford outside the courthouse after the court session was over. |
| 2011.07.32.A PAS TJB 2K6 Globe and Mail M. Posner “You don’t want to see me angry” This article is about an interview with Bedford by the author. It appeared in the Globe and Mail, “Canada’s National Newspaper.” She is asked both about her memoirs, <i>Dominatrix on Trial</i> , and the case before the courts. |
| 2012.03.27.A APPEAL 5 Toronto Sun Various “Long Time: New era for the oldest job” Nine months after the hearings, the court released the decision. Essentially, Bedford’s side won. |
| 2012.03.27.B APPEAL 5 Toronto Star J. Poisson “Brothel ruling hailed, decried” See comments on Article 2012.03.27A |
| 2012.03.27.C APPEAL 5 Metro “Sex workers rejoice” See comments on Article 2012.03.27A |
| 2012.03.27.D APPEAL 5 Toronto Star Editors “Danger lurks in the shadows” See comments on Article 2012.03.27A |
| 2012.03.27.E APPEAL 5 Globe and Mail Editors “Home field and the working girl” See comments on Article 2012.03.27A |
| 2012.03.27.F APPEAL 5 24H News Toronto “Prostitution law struck down” See comments on Article 2012.03.27A |
| 2012.03.27.G APPEAL 5 National Post Unsigned “Court strikes down prostitution laws” See comments on Article 2012.03.27A |
| 2012.03.27.H APPEAL 5 National Post Unsigned “Ontario Prostitution Decision: Main Points” See comments on Article 2012.03.27A |
| 2012.03.27.I APPEAL 5 24H News Toronto Various “Province eyeing appeal of court ruling” “Spring has come for sex workers: lawyer” See comments on Article 2012.03.27A |
| 2012.04.26.A APPEAL 5 Toronto Sun D. Akin “Feds won’t take court ruling lying down” The federal government announced that it would appeal the Ontario Court of Appeal’s decision to the Supreme Court of Canada. |
| 2012.04.26.B APPEAL 5 24H Toronto D. Akin |

| |
|--|
| <p>“Feds to appeal brothel ruling” See comments on Article 2012.04.26.A</p> |
| <p>2012.04.26.C APPEAL 5 Toronto Sun Front Page “Harper walks into brothel debate” See comments on Article 2012.04.26.A</p> |
| <p>2012.04.26.D APPEAL 5 Toronto Sun M. Mandel “Ottawa playing with lives” See comments on Article 2012.04.26.A</p> |
| <p>2012.07.32.A APPEAL 6 Globe and Mail M. Wente “Forget legalization – just turn a blind eye” Margaret Wente examines the issues of the case at the societal level and comments on Bedford herself.</p> |
| <p>2012.10.26.A APPEAL 6 Toronto Sun I. Robertson “Court to review bawdy language” The Supreme Court of Canada, as expected, agreed to hear the appeals, from both sides, of the Ontario Court of Appeal’s decision on the Himel decision.</p> |
| <p>2012.10.26.B APPEAL 6 Toronto Sun S. Kent “Seeing Red” See comments on Article 2012.04.26.A</p> |
| <p>2013.06.14.A APPEAL 6 Toronto Star T. McCharles “Lawyers challenged to defend brothel ban” In June 2013 the Supreme Court of Canada heard the appeals. Demonstrators on both sides of the issue demonstrated in front of the court. Reporters said that this was unprecedented. Bedford addressed supporters from the courthouse steps. Media coverage was extensive. The decision was expected to be given in early 2014.</p> |
| <p>2013.06.14.B APPEAL 6 Globe and Mail K. Makin “Prostitution challenge focuses on choice” See comments on Article 2013.06.14.A</p> |
| <p>2013.06.20.A APPEAL 6 NOW Magazine M. Thorne “Risky Business: Most dangers of dominatrix from laws and stigma” See comments on Article 2013.06.14.A</p> |
| <p>2013.07.32.A APPEAL 6 Globe and Mail M. Wente “Legalize prostitution? Are we nuts?” Six weeks after the hearings at the Supreme Court Margaret Wente published this opinion piece which differed markedly from that which she wrote several months previously (2012.07.31.A). Bedford wrote a response, a shortened version of which was sent in by her and published in the letters to the editor section of the Globe and Mail.</p> |
| <p>2013.12.21.A APPEAL 6 National Post Unsigned “Bedford flashes victory sign at Supreme Court” On December 20, 2013 the Supreme Court released its decision and, unlike the Ontario</p> |

| |
|---|
| <p>Court of Appeal, upheld Himel entirely instead of just in the main. Bedford was at the court for the release. Media coverage was massive. There are so many articles for this day and the following days and weeks on the Internet (for example search “Terri-Jean Bedford”) that clippings are not included in the present collection.</p> |
| <p>2014.01.16.A APPEAL 6 Windsor Star C. Pearson “Sex workers in need of union, dominatrix says” One month after the release of the Supreme Court decision, Bedford spoke to 250 students and others at the University of Windsor. It received much local and some national media coverage.</p> |
| <p>2014.01.23.A APPEAL 6 University of Windsor Lance T. Fauteaux “Bedford brings the pro prostitution victory home” See comments on Article 2014.01.16.A</p> |
| <p>2014.07.16.A C36 TJB Globe and Mail T. Bedford “As a former sex worker, I am sure the Conservative bill will fail” The government introduced Bill C-36 to control the sex trade. It was basically the “Nordic Model”, which charges clients and enablers, but not the sex workers themselves. Bedford submitted this article to the Globe and Mail, which published it on line and possibly in the hard copy of the newspaper as well.</p> |
| <p>2014.09.13.A C36 TJB National Post S. Maher “Politicians have reason to fear retired dominatrix” In the House of Commons and Senate, hearings were held into the proposed new legislation. Bedford thought the hearings, required by Parliament, were a scam and formality, but not to testify would be in effect to condone the new law. She read a brief statement and then during questions refused to be silenced by the chair and was ejected. On the way out she said she might name famous clients of sex workers if the new law was passed. Outside the Senate she told reporters that she would be asking the premier of Ontario and mayor of Toronto to oppose the law and not enforce it.</p> |
| <p>2014.10.09.A C36 TJB NOW Magazine T. Bedford “6 Questions for the PM on Prostitution” As the debate on the new legislation continued, Bedford submitted an article, which was published in part by numerous papers, both hard copy and on line.</p> |
| <p>2014.10.32.A PAS TJB 2K6 National Post S. Maher “Leaders urged not to enforce hooker law” Bedford was interviewed. She also wrote to the premier, who replied in a press conference, mentioning the letter specifically, that she, the premier, had grave concerns about the new law.</p> |
| |